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PHM Beginnings - 1

• PHM was the first U.S. Navy ship program to complete all aspects of design, construction, technical evaluation and independent operational evaluation as required by the U.S. Department of Defense "fly-before-buy" policies.

• Extensive pre-delivery test and evaluation on PHM-1, including problem resolution and corrective actions, accounted for more than a 2.5 year time span from launch to delivery.
PHM Beginnings - 2

- Need for relatively small, fast ships to counter Soviet/Warsaw Pact missile boats like Soviet hydrofoil SARANCHA identified by NATO in the late 1970’s
- NATO analysis led to agreement among the US, West Germany and Italy in 1972 for design, development and acquisition of the NATO PHM.
- Program strongly supported by Admiral Elmo Zumwalt, U.S. Navy Chief of Naval Operations (CNO). PHM would play a major role in his “high-low mix” vision for the U.S. Navy’s shipbuilding program.
PHM Beginnings - 3

NATO-agreed basic operational characteristics for PHM

- Displacement: 250 Metric Tons
- Length: 132.9 ft
- Beam: 28.2 ft (hull) 47.5 ft. (foils)
- Propulsion: 1- LM-2500 gas turbine (Foilborne) w/waterjet pump
  2- MTU diesels (1630 hp) (Hullborne) w/waterjet pumps
- Crew: 4 Officers / 19 Enlisted
- Foilborne Speed: 40+ knots/Sea State 0; 40 knots/Sea State 5
- Hullborne Speed: 11 knots/Sea State 0
- Range: 750 nautical miles foilborne/1200 nautical miles hullborne
- Draft: 7.5 ft (foils raised) / 23ft (foils lowered)
• Two NATO production variants (Germany and Italy) were to be very similar with primary differences in combat suites and certain internal arrangements.

• US Variant was to be outfitted with US Harpoon surface-to-surface missile, mounted on the fantail, as shown here.

• The German Variant would mount French Exocet missiles in a similar configuration, as shown in the lower figure.
PHM Beginnings - 5

• Initial planning: 30 PHMs, reduced to 25 in 1974, then to 6 in 1975.

• Italy announced in 1974 that they would not enter PHM production; they would, however, continue to participate in design/development.

• Germany remained a full partner in development as well but deferred any production decision until the US decision would be made.
PHM-1

USS Pegasus

- Built by Boeing Marine Systems (BMS), Seattle, Washington
- Launched June 1974
- First foilborne flight February 1975
- Commissioned: July 1977
- Operational: July 1977 – July 1993
- Decommissioned: July 1993
PHM-1 Production

• PHM-1 was launched in November 1974 and began the most extensive technical and operational evaluation (TECHEVAL/OPEVAL) of any US Navy ship at that time.

• PHM-1 shown here successfully launching a HARPOON missile in Sea State 3.
PHM-1 Launch
# PHM Class Characteristics

## Pegasus Class—General Characteristics and Principal Subsystems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dimensions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length overall, foils down</td>
<td>40.5 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam, main deck</td>
<td>8.6 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall aft foil span</td>
<td>14.5 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft, foils up</td>
<td>1.9 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft, foils down</td>
<td>7.1 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of bridge, hullborne</td>
<td>6.8 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of bridge, foilborne</td>
<td>11.1 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-load displacement</td>
<td>241.3 metric tons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propulsion Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foilborne propulsion</strong></td>
<td>1 General Electric LM2500 gas turbine engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Aerojet Liquid Rocket Company waterjet propulsor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foilborne speed</strong></td>
<td>In excess of 40 knots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hullborne propulsion</strong></td>
<td>2 Motoren-und Turbinen-Union (MTU) 6V391TC61 diesel engines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Aerojet Liquid Rocket Company waterjet propulsors with nozzle steering and reverser assemblies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical</strong></td>
<td>2 AiResearch ME831-800 gas turbine engines, each driving a 450V ac, 250-kVA (200 kW), 400-Hz, 3-phase generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel</strong></td>
<td>Diesel oil per MIL-F-16884 (NATO F-76) or JP-5 per MIL-T-5624 (NATO F-44).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hull</strong></td>
<td>Welded 5456 aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foils and struts</strong></td>
<td>Welded 17-4PH corrosion-resistant steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accommodations</strong></td>
<td>24 berths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complement</strong></td>
<td>23 officers and enlisted men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provisions</strong></td>
<td>5 days (nominal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Payload</strong></td>
<td>36.3 metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mission Load</strong></td>
<td>87.9 metric tons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Includes Total Payload plus crew, provisions, water, and fuel.
PHM – Hullform Design Considerations

- Length determined by 380° slew for 75 m.
- Deckhouse for combat systems. Deckspace for exocet missiles, hullborne and foilborne engines in separate compartments.
- Bow flare, freeboard forward determined by hullborne and foilborne seakeeping considerations.
- Bow fineness, deadrise determined by foilborne wave impact considerations.
- Basic deadrise determined by stability and foilborne impact considerations. Min 73 deg.
- Buttock line shape determined by takeoff drag considerations.
- Chine requirement determined by takeoff, stability and manufacturing considerations.
- Nozzle outlet and tunnel determined by machinery arrangement and takeoff drag considerations.
- Hull cutout determined by foil system arrangement considerations.
- Topside flare determined by deck space, stability, and radar signature considerations.
- Hullborne resistance, weight, and stability considerations.
- LCB determined by canard arrangement (~35% forward).
- Prismatic coefficient, displacement length ratio, and length beam ratio determined by arrangement.
PHM – Foil System Configuration
PHM – Propulsion System Arrangement
PHM Automatic Control System (ACS)

The automatic control system (ACS) provides continuous computerized dynamic control of the ship during takeoff, landing, and all foilborne operations. The only inputs required are mode selection, foil depth setting, foilborne throttle setting, and steering.
PHM – Program Evolution

- At completion of OPEVAL (summer of 1976) PHM-1 had traveled over 25,000 miles (40,000 km), essentially once around the world.
- In 1975 the U. S. Navy’s program was reduced to six ships; PEGASUS, plus four ships for which funding had been appropriated in 1975, plus completion of HERCULES (appropriated in 1976).
- In May 1977, two months before the Congress reinstated the program, the German Navy announced its decision not to procure PHMs, effectively ending the NATO aspect of the program. Germany maintained that their decision was based on unit cost.
- Germany later built conventional hull fast patrol boats (S-143 Class).
• OPEVAL identified significant technical issues with PHM-1
• Boeing/Navy design modifications incorporated in PHM-3 Series follow ships (built to a detailed specification).
• Major design areas:
  • Hull structural producibility
  • Strut and foil system fatigue life, performance and producibility
  • Foilborne gearbox
  • Foilborne waterjet pump
  • 400 Hz to 60 Hz static frequency converters
• Design modifications added about 6 metric tons and required uprating of LM-2500 gas turbine, foilborne waterjet pump, foilborne gearbox and refinement of foil system structural design.
PHM-3 Series Follow Ships

- **USS HERCULES PHM-2**: Commissioned July 1982

- **USS TAURUS PHM-3**: Commissioned Oct 1981
PHM-3 Series Follow Ships

- **USS AQUILA PHM-4:** Commissioned Dec 1981
- **USS ARIES PHM-5:** Commissioned Apr 1982
PHM-3 Series Follow Ships

- USS GEMINI PHM-6: Commissioned June 1982
PHM Squadron Operations (1)

- *PEGASUS* home-ported initially at Little Creek, Virginia in 1979, awaiting arrival of her sister ships.

- In 1980, PHM-1 homeport was shifted to Key West Florida so she could participate in the US Navy's contribution to the “War on Drugs” while awaiting delivery of PHMs 2-6.

- The production ships and the shore-based, but transportable PHM Mobile Logistic Support Group were delivered to Key West over the next three years, with the full squadron (PHMRON TWO) constituted in Spring 1983.
PHM Squadron Operations (2)

**PHM Operations**
- WAR - Grenada
- Battle Group Workups
  - Usually “Orange Force”
- Port Visits
  - East Coast/Carib/GOM Ports
- Developed Fast Ship Tactics
  - With USN and Foreign Navies
- Trial Deployments

**Counter Drug OPS**
- 3% of Navy Ships Accounted for 30% of Navy-assisted “Busts”
- 225,000 Lb MJ, 12,000 Lb Cocaine
  - Street Value $1.2 Billion
- Received 22 Unit Awards from USCG
- PHM is: “Superior Platform, . . . The Most Effective Surface Asset . . .” (in Many Counter Drug Scenarios)
  -- Commander USCG District 7 (AUG ‘92)
PHM Squadron Operations (3)

Why Was the PHM So Effective?

**SPEED**
- Could Cover Larger Areas in Shorter time
- Faster Turnaround Between Station and “Home Plate”
- Could Intercept Other High Speed Craft

SUSTAINED HIGH SPEED IN SEA STATE

- Speed Advantage Not Degraded in Bad Weather
- Tailchases Can Succeed, Even Against Faster Ships
PHM Squadron Logistic Support

PHM Logistic Concept

- Ships Manned Only To Operator Level (Port & Stbd Watch Sections)
- Clerical, Personnel, Supply, Disbursing, Import Berthing/Messing, Training And All O/I Level Maintenance Except Daily PMS, Performed Off-Ship By PHM Mobile Logistic Support Group (MLSG)
- 4 Officers/150 Enlisted
- Housed in Transportable Complex of 70'x 8' x 20' ISO Vans
- PHM Unique Parts Control (63% of COSAL), Expanded Planning Yard and ISEA, “Privatized” Under Contract to Builder (Boeing)
PHM Squadron Decommissioning – July 1993

• Despite the remarkable contribution these ships had made to the country’s national objectives, the Navy decided in June 1993 to decommission them, citing their expense to operate.

• Since PHM operating costs were very modest – only about 1/3 the cost of the FFG-7 Class), PHM advocates believe that the 6 PHMs were sacrificed early in the post cold war naval drawdown to avoid loss of an equal number of larger, more capable ships.

Farewell Flight June 13 – 28 1993
USS Aries (PHM-5) Museum
Gasconade, Missouri, USA

- Website:  [http://www.ussaries.org/](http://www.ussaries.org/)

- The USS Aries Hydrofoil Memorial, Inc., is a non-profit organization created specifically for the preservation, and rehabilitation of the only remaining Patrol Hydrofoil Missile (PHM) Ship and is a member of the Historic Naval Ships Association and the International Hydrofoil Society.

- The Aries is quite complete and utilizing the original MTU diesels, she is capable of getting underway hullborne. The museum’s goal is to return her to flying condition.

- Over the years the museum mission has expanded to include other hydrofoils both military and civilian, domestic and international. The fleet of hydrofoils has grown to over 7, including, many of which have been restored to flying condition. This includes the 1960’s era Boeing-built LITTLE SQUIRT test craft.
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**Soviet *Sarancha* Class Hydrofoil**

(Built: 1973 / In commission: 1977-1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong></td>
<td>hydrofoil missile boat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Displacement:</strong></td>
<td>280 tons standard, 320 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length:</strong></td>
<td>53.6 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beam:</strong></td>
<td>31.31 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draught:</strong></td>
<td>2.6 meters (7.3 meters/oils extended)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propulsion:</strong></td>
<td>4 shafts, 2 gas turbines (30,000 hp) and 2 diesels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speed (calm water):</strong></td>
<td>58 knots (107 km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range:</strong></td>
<td>700 nautical miles (1,300 km)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NATO PHM MILESTONES

- Nov 72: NATO PHM Program Office and Steering Committee Formed (US, FRG and Italy)
- Contract Let to Boeing Marine Systems for Two Lead PHMs
- Nov 74 PEGASUS (PHM-1) Launched
- 1975: Funds to Build PHM 3-6 Appropriated
- 1976/1977: Funds to Complete PHM 2 Appropriated